UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

DAVEY LAMONT WALKER	Case No: 3:02CR00193-005
Date of Previous Judgment: 1/26/2006) K	JSM No: 19134-058 Kimberly Best Defendant's Attorney
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of \blacksquare the defendant \square the Director of the § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposes subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	ed based on a guideline sentencing range that has
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's preventhe last judgment issued) of	viously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
Criminal History Category: III C	(Prior to Any Departures) Amended Offense Level: 26 Criminal History Category: III Amended Guideline Range: 78 to 97 months
 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE □ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range. ■ Other (explain): Because the combined offense level for the two drug types involved in this offense (cocaine base and cocaine powder) after the two-level reduction would be less than the offense level for the cocaine powder alone, the two-level reduction does not apply. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. 	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS	
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	1/26/2006 shall remain in effect.
Order Date: April 24, 2009	Haham C. Mullen
Effective Date:	Graham C. Mullen United States District Judge